

Summer 7-17-1974

Senate Meeting July 17, 1974

Academic Senate
Illinois State University

Follow this and additional works at: <http://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/senateminutes>



Part of the [Higher Education Administration Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Senate, Academic, "Senate Meeting July 17, 1974" (1974). *Academic Senate Minutes*. Paper 233.
<http://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/senateminutes/233>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate at ISU ReD: Research and eData. It has been accepted for inclusion in Academic Senate Minutes by an authorized administrator of ISU ReD: Research and eData. For more information, please contact ISUReD@ilstu.edu.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Meeting No. 18
President's Conference Room
4:00 - 5:30 p.m.

July 17, 1974

Members Present: Mr. Arnold, President Budig, Mr. Hicklin, Mr. Kolasa, Mr. Liberta,
Mr. Sutherland (arrived late), Mr. Young
Guests: Mr. Chamberlain, Mr. Smith, Mr. Steinbach, Mr. Taylor,
Ms. Robbins, Vidette

Vice Chairperson Kolasa called the meeting to order at 4:10 p.m.

A communication from the President regarding the actions of the Academic Senate on June 26, 1974, was read. The President reported that Michael Kurz was appointed as chairman of the Athletic Council; the Academic Calendar for 1975-76 was accepted and will be sent to the Board for action; the resignation of Mary K. Huser as chairperson of CCGR was accepted and Tom Eimermann was named to serve as chairman with John Boaz reinstated on the committee to fill the vacancy; Dean Belshe has been asked to follow through on the naming of the library Milner Library.

Chairperson Sutherland arrived at this point and Mr. Kolasa relinquished the chair to him.

A communication from the University Curriculum Committee re their recommendation on the Health Education proposal was read. A motion (Mr. Arnold, President Budig) to refer the letter to the Academic Affairs Committee for their information was made. President Budig reported that the UCC recommendation represents a consensus of the department heads, deans, and others involved in this decision. The motion was approved.

A letter from Trevor Steinbach re the proposed bicycle regulations was read. Chairperson Sutherland stated that it was his understanding that it was Mr. Duncan and Mr. Legg who did these. Mr. Arnold stated that an SA representative was at the meetings. He stated that the representative had submitted reactions along the lines of the questions raised in Mr. Steinbach's letter. Mr. Steinbach stated that he would like the Academic Senate to look into this. A question arose if the bicycle committee was under the Senate. President Budig stated that he thought Dr. Morris would be open to suggestions from the Senate. It was stated that it would be very helpful to have Dr. Morris explore the problem with the appropriate committee. A motion (Mr. Hicklin, Mr. Liberta) to refer Mr. Steinbach's letter to the Administrative Affairs Committee was made. It was stated that perhaps it would be better to ask a group such as Rules if bicycles fall under the Parking Committee. It was stated that since the same people would carry out the bicycle regulations as do the parking regulations it would be under the Parking Committee jurisdiction. It was suggested that the Administrative Affairs Committee come to an understanding with the Parking Committee about this. The motion to refer Mr. Steinbach's letter to Administrative Affairs was approved.

Mr. Arnold asked what the Administrative Affairs Committee was to do after they looked into the situation raised by Mr. Steinbach's letter. Are we asking the Administrative

to help Morris's committee? to send reactions to Morris's committee? It was stated that the Administrative Affairs Committee would address itself to the problems raised in the letter from Mr. Steinbach. It was pointed out that if it is established that bicycle regulations are part of parking, then the Senate will get a chance to talk about these regulations. Mr. Arnold stated that he thought the members could contact Morris's committee and give that committee input. Mr. Arnold stated that he didn't see what giving it to Administrative Affairs was going to accomplish. He stated that if we just bootleg it, no single group will be accountable. It was stated that by referring it to the Administrative Affairs Committee who would contact the people drawing the regulations up that the bicycle regulations would thereby get into the governance system. By giving it to the jurisdiction of the parking committee, we could hold them accountable. It was suggested that the Administrative Affairs Committee establish a liaison with Morris's committee. A supplemental motion was suggested to establish a liaison with Morris's office. It was stated that the Administrative Affairs Committee would probably figure that out. It was stated that referring it to Administrative Affairs was not a tabling of the concern.

A memo from Barry Chamberlain and Trevor Steinbach re SCERB revisions was read. The memo asked for the advice of the Senate on the SCERB revisions. The committee would formulate its recommendation on the basis of the Senate discussion. A motion (Mr. Young, Mr. Arnold) to put the item on the agenda as an information item was approved.

A letter from Gail Holmberg re parking regulations was read. A motion (Mr. Young, Mr. Kolasa) to refer the letter to the Administrative Affairs Committee was made. Mr. Taylor asked if he might speak to this point. He stated that parents on campus for Preview could not find parking in the designated areas. Also, the parking that was provided was far away. Yet the Union lot sits practically empty. Lots near the Student Association office are designated as faculty. Mr. Arnold agreed that something needs to be done about the parking situation on this campus. The parking situation needs to be carefully examined. Present parking regulations are asinine. Administrative offices decide things without talking them over with others. The Senate does have power over parking because of the role of the Parking Committee. Mr. Kolasa stated that he had been told that parking regulations had been approved for next year. He questioned by whom they had been approved. Mr. Kolasa stated that the Parking Committee was under the jurisdiction of the Administrative Affairs Committee so the Senate should have some say about the parking regulations. Mr. Young pointed out that the Senate external committees report only major changes to the Senate. He stated that several of the past chairmen of the Administrative Affairs Committee had worked very hard on parking. If we think the parking publication needs to be approved every year, then we should bring it to the Senate. Mr. Hicklin gave a historical overview of the situation. He said that the Senate has been singularly unsuccessful in bringing parking regulations into discussion. He stated that the only time the parking regulations were brought to the Senate was when the fee was raised. Mr. Kolasa reiterated his belief that the regulations should come to the Senate. Mr. Sutherland stated that the Parking Committee has in effect become advisory, although the intention was that they make substantial recommendations. It was pointed out that not every action that a committee approves comes to the Senate.

The Senate doesn't really want to become involved in the day-to-day decision making. If everything was brought to the Senate, the Senate would bog down in details. Major changes in policy should come to the Senate. President Budig asked if it would not be advisable to work through the Administrative Affairs Committee to invite Morris and the committee to have a comprehensive discussion of these issues. The way to proceed is through Administrative Affairs. Most of the questions raised today can be answered with dispatch. The question was raised if the Senate would have the final stamp of approval on these regulations. It was suggested that ExCom could put that in its charge. Mr. Taylor was asked to prepare a brief memo outlining the concerns that he discussed. It was suggested that in the letter of transmittal we include a statement of Kolasa's concern that the committee address itself to the Blue Book stipulations, and that we ask for a report on their deliberations. The motion was approved.

A memo from the Faculty Affairs Committee re Optional Retirement Payments for Non-Employment Periods During the Calendar Year was noted. A motion (President Budig, Mr. Liberta) to put the item on the agenda as an action item was approved.

A memo from the Faculty Affairs Committee re the recommendation for a referee body to decide jurisdictional disputes was noted. Mr. Smith, Chairperson of the Faculty Affairs Committee, reported that after thorough discussion the committee had decided that Goleash's interpretation would make this mandatory with no indication as to appeal procedures. The Committee had decided to try this just as a suggestion rather than as binding. Questions have been raised as to why three committees are needed. The Committee is studying the situation now and may suggest a more comprehensive policy later. A motion (Mr. Young, Mr. Kolasa) to place the proposal for a referee body on the agenda as an information item was approved.

Chairperson Sutherland mentioned that memorial statements were being prepared for William Wantling and Vytas Gaigalas, faculty members who had died recently. A motion (Mr. Liberta, Mr. Arnold) to approve the preparation of such statements was approved.

Ms. Frankland gave a liaison report for the Rules Committee. She reported that the Rules Committee had: (1) upheld the Elections Committee's interpretation re the eligibility of part time faculty to vote in Senate elections; (2) decided that the Executive Committee was the body responsible for action during the interim between Senates; and (3) decided that biographical sketches would be requested for all FSC, Grievance, and AFT elections.

Mr. Chamberlain asked that a proposal to enlarge the membership of the Entertainment Committee be placed on the agenda as an information item. The item was approved as an information item for the July 24 Senate agenda.

The next Executive Committee meeting will be on July 31 at 4:00 p.m. in the President's Conference Room, Hovey 418.

A motion (Mr. Hicklin, Mr. Young) to adjourn was approved.